UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,008	07/12/2006	Jens Garner	442-258 PCT/US	9313
Hoffmann & Ba	7590 08/04/200 aron, LLP	EXAMINER		
6900 Jericho Tu	ırnpike	FOX, JOHN C		
Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/586,008	GARNER, JENS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Fox	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ne 2009.					
· <u> </u>	<u>_</u>					
·=	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7 and 9-14</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•—						
	_					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
1 apor 110(0) minim bato						

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 27, 2009 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stoll et al (US 6,169,338).

It is believed that the pertinence of the reference is readily apparent. The recitation of "compressed air" merely relates to intended use and is given no weight. Stoll et al shows a modular system where different modules may be arranged as desired, and includes "concatenation blocks" 35-38 with a bus 42 made up of modular elements connected by "teeth" 48, which are read as electrical connections. Stoll et al teach different types of modules and teach a complete fluid delivery and control system with a central unit 40 and subscriber units 39 by which the system can be controlled and monitored. It is believed that the control system of Stoll et al includes the ability to distinguish between the different modules, so, for example, a solenoid valve on/off signal is not sent to a filter, or an oiler is not queried for a pressure sensor reading. It is believed that Stoll et al broadly discloses circuit boards and similar well known features as recited.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Stoll et al does not include an explicit disclosure of the claimed feature of "decoding means for recognition of the type of functional block". However, the means plus function recitation invokes §112, sixth paragraph, and the consequent analysis of the specific disclosure and it's equivalents. Since the specification discloses nothing about how the function is to be achieved, a broad reading of the Prior Art is proper.

Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 9-14 are, in the alternative, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoll et al.

It is considered an obvious step in the art to provide the system of Stoll et al with the ability to distinguish between different types of modules in view of the advanced state of the control systems art and the readily apparent need to so distinguish different types of modules in order to successfully control and monitor a fluid system. The use of the particulars recited, such as circuit boards, are considered to be obvious matters of design choice or routine engineering in that the particulars are well known.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Prior Art cited shows modular fluid handling systems where fluid and electrical connections between the modules are simultaneously made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 10am-6pm (Hoteling Program).

Application/Control Number: 10/586,008 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Fox/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753